

# A Tauberian theorem for Cesaro and Abel summability

by G. M. Petersen

*Dept. of Mathematics, Canterbury University, Christchurch, New Zealand*

Communicated by Prof. J. Korevaar at the meeting of March 31, 1979

1. In this paper we shall be concerned with the well known  $(C, 1)$  method of limiting a sequence, viz. taking the limit of  $\{t_n\}$ :

$$t_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} s_k;$$

we shall also be concerned with Abel's method defined by

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 1-} (1-x) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} s_k x^k.$$

For both of these methods membership of the set  $E$  is a Tauberian condition, where

$$E = \left\{ s : s_n - s_{n-1} = O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \right\}.$$

This condition is in many ways the best possible, and certainly cannot be improved from  $O(1/n)$  to  $O(f(n)/n)$ ,  $f(n) \uparrow \infty$ , see (2). However we shall show in this paper that using the topology generated by the sup norm, i.e.

$$\|s\| = \sup_n |s_n|,$$

membership in the closure  $\bar{E}$  of  $E$  is a Tauberian condition for both these methods.

We first prove:

**THEOREM 1.** If  $s$  is limited by the Abel method and  $s \in \bar{E}$ , then  $s$  is bounded.

**PROOF:** We suppose  $\|s - \hat{s}\| < \varepsilon$  where  $\hat{s} \in E$ ; let

$$f(x) = (1-x) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} s_k x^k$$

with  $\hat{f}(x)$  the function corresponding to  $\hat{s}$ . Then

$$|f(x) - \hat{f}(x)| \leq (1-x) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |s_k - \hat{s}_k| |x|^k < \varepsilon, \quad (0 \leq x < 1).$$

Hence, if  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 1^-} f(x)$  exists,  $\hat{f}(x)$  is bounded on the interval  $(0 \leq x < 1)$ . Now we have

$$\hat{f}\left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right) - \hat{s}_n = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \hat{a}_k \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right)^k - \hat{s}_n,$$

where  $\hat{s}_k - \hat{s}_{k-1} = \hat{a}_k$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{f}\left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right) - \hat{s}_n &\leq \sum_{k=1}^n |\hat{a}_k| \left| \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right)^k - 1 \right| + \frac{C \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right)^n}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right)^k \\ &\leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n k |\hat{a}_k| + C = O(1). \end{aligned}$$

From this it follows that  $\hat{s}$  is a bounded sequence and hence  $s$  is a bounded sequence. This completes our proof which for the most part is derived from standard techniques, see (1) for example.

It is well known that  $(C, 1)$  and the Abel method limit the same set of bounded sequences, see (1). All we need do is to show that the class of bounded sequences limited by  $(C, 1)$  intersects  $\bar{E}$  in the convergent sequences and we shall have proved that  $\bar{E}$  is a Tauberian condition for both  $(C, 1)$  and Abel summability.

**THEOREM 2.** The set  $\bar{E}$  is a Tauberian condition for both  $(C, 1)$  and Abel summability.

**PROOF: \*** We shall in fact show that if  $\{s_n\}$  is  $(C, 1)$  limitable and  $\{s_n\} \in \bar{E}$  then  $\{s_n\}$  is convergent.

Since  $\{s_n\} \in \bar{E}$ , for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a  $C_\varepsilon \geq 1$  and sequence  $\{s'_n\}$  such that

$$|s'_n - s'_{n-1}| \leq \frac{C_\varepsilon}{n}, \quad |s_n - s'_n| \leq \varepsilon, \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots).$$

---

\* I thank Professor J. Korevaar for this simple proof of Theorem 2.

Let  $S_n = s_1 + \dots + s_n$ . We may assume without loss of generality that the Cesàro limit of  $\{s_n\}$  is zero. Hence, for every  $\delta > 0$ , there exists an  $n_0(\delta)$  such that  $|S_n| < \delta n$ ,  $n > n_0(\delta)$ . Now for  $0 < h \leq n$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} |s_n| &= \left| \frac{S_{n+h} - S_n}{h} - \frac{(s_{n+1} - s_n) + \dots + (s_{n+h} - s_n)}{h} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{\delta(n+h+n)}{h} + \frac{|s'_{n+1} - s'_n| + \dots + |s'_{n+h} - s'_n|}{h} \\ &\quad + \frac{|s'_{n+1} - s_{n+1}| + |s'_n - s_n| + \dots + |s'_{n+h} - s_{n+h}| + |s'_n - s_n|}{h} \\ &\leq 3\delta \frac{n}{h} + c_\varepsilon \frac{(1 + \dots + h)}{nh} + 2 \frac{h\varepsilon}{h} \\ &\leq 3\delta \frac{n}{h} + c_\varepsilon \frac{h}{n} + 2\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

After choosing  $\varepsilon$ , we may take  $\delta = \varepsilon^2/C_\varepsilon$  and  $h = [(\varepsilon/C_\varepsilon)n]$  and it follows that  $|s_n| < 7\varepsilon$ ,  $n > n_0$ .

2. Since  $\bar{E}$  includes all of the convergent sequences, it is in some ways a more satisfactory Tauberian condition than  $E$ . We shall now give an example of a non convergent sequence in  $\bar{E} \setminus E$ . This example will be a sequence  $s$  satisfying  $s_n - s_{n-1} = O(f(n)/n)$ ,  $\limsup f(n) = \infty$ ,  $\liminf f(n) = 1$ .

Suppose that  $s_n = s(n)$  has been defined for  $n \leq m(k) = m(k, 0)$  and that  $s(m(k, 0)) = 0$ . We proceed to define  $s(n)$  and the indices

$$m(k, 0), m(k, 1) \dots m(k, 2r) = m(k+1, 0).$$

Let  $s(n) - s(n-1) = 1/n$ ,  $m(k, 0) \leq n-1 < m(k, 1)$  provided that  $s(n-1) < \frac{1}{2} - 1/n$ ; otherwise, let  $s(n) = s(m(k, 1)) = \frac{1}{2}$ . Let  $s(n) - s(n-1) = -1/n$ ,  $m(k, 1) \leq n-1 < m(k, 2)$  provided that  $s(n-1) > 1/n$ ; otherwise, let  $s(n) = s(m(k, 2)) = 0$ .

In general let,  $s(n) - s(n-1) = 10^p/n$ ,  $m(k, 2p) \leq n-1 < m(k, 2p+1)$  provided  $s(n-1) < 2^{-(p+1)} - 10^p/n$ ; otherwise let  $s(n) = s(m(k, 2p+1)) = 2^{-(p+1)}$ . Let  $s(n) - s(n-1) = -10^p/n$ ,  $m(k, 2p+1) \leq n-1 < m(k, 2p+2)$  provided that  $s(n-1) > 10^p/n$ ; otherwise let  $s(n) = s(m(k, 2p+2)) = 0$ .

This process is to continue until  $10^p/n > 2^{-(p+1)}$  or  $p = k^2$  whichever occurs first. In this case, set  $m(k, 2p) = m(k, 2r) = m(k+1, 0)$  and the process begins again with  $s(m(k+1)) = 0$ .

We can construct  $\{x(n)\}$  so that  $x(n) = 0$  if  $|s(n) - s(n-1)| \geq 10^p/n$  and  $x(n) = s(n)$  if  $|s(n) - s(n-1)| < 10^p/n$ . For this sequence we clearly have  $|x(n) - x(n-1)| < 10^p/n$  and  $\sup |s(n) - x(n)| < 2^{-(p+2)}$  so that  $s \in \bar{E}$ .

On the other hand,  $m(k, 2p+3) - m(k, 2p+2)$  is approximately

$$m(k, 2p+2) \times 10^{-(p+1)} \times 2^{-(p+2)}$$

and if  $|x(n) - x(n-1)| \leq 10^p/n$  then  $|x(m(k, 2p+3)) - x(m(k, 2p+2))|$  is less than

$$m(k, 2p+2) \times 10^{-(p+1)} \times 2^{-(p+2)} \times \frac{10^p}{m(k, 2p+2)} \text{ or } \frac{1}{10} \times 2^{-(p+2)}$$

and  $\sup |s(n) - x(n)| > 2^{-(p+5)}/10$  and  $s \notin E$ .

#### REFERENCES

1. Zeller, K. and W. Beekman - "Theorie der Limitierungsverfahren", Second Ed. Springer Verlag, New York, Berlin (1970).
2. Lorentz, G. G. - "Direct theorems on methods of summability", Canad. J. Math. 1, 305-319 (1949).
3. Petersen, G. M. - "Factor sequences for summability matrices", Math. Z. 112, 389-392 (1969).
4. Petersen, G. M. - "Factor sequences and their algebras", Jber. Deutsch. Math.-Verein. 74, 182-188 (1973).